

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/507,768	02/18/2000	Sergio Lazzarotto	4617	8618	
758	7590 06/09/2003				
	& WEST LLP		EXAMINER		
SILICON VA	LLEY CENTER				
801 CALIFO	RNIA STREET		LEE, TIMOTHY L		
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041					
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2697		
		DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

marked on 2/11/03 Marked on 2/11/03





# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,768	(	02/18/2000	Sergio Lazzarotto	4617	8618
758	7590	04/17/2003	•		
FENWICK & WEST LLP				EXAMINER	
SILICON VA 801 CALIFOI	RNIA ST	REET	LEE, TIMOTHY L		
MOUNTAIN	VIEW,	CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2697	16
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	,	09/507,768	LAZZAROTTO ET AL.				
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy Lee	2697				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
	Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) $1-33$ is/are pending in the application	1.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
/	he specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 February 2000</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.				
40\□ -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10( ) ( )				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
/-	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

Art Unit: 2697

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 415. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rostoker et al. (US 6,006,105) in view of Freeburg et al. (US 5,940,381).
- 4. Regarding claims 1, 9, 11, 17, 18, 19, 28, 30, 31, and 33, Rostoker et al. discloses a wireless communications device that is able to self-adapt to various operating frequencies and communications protocols so that the device is able to provide communications in several service areas. See Abstract. Looking at Figure 4, it can be seen that the device can receive signals in the 800 MHz/900 MHz range as well as the 1800 MHz/1900 MHz range. The TDMA, CDMA, and GSM protocols can be available in either frequency range depending on the location. See col. 4, lines 4-20. The operating frequency of these transceiver sections is selected by the micro-controller (processing an output signal from one of a first communication system operating in a first frequency range pursuant to a first protocol or a second communication

Art Unit: 2697

system operating in a second frequency range pursuant to a second protocol; receiving the output signal at a microcontroller unit). See also col. 11, lines 33-49. One or more of these transceiver sections can be operable at a particular time. See col. 11, lines 58-61. The interface with circuit portion 138 in Fig. 6 allows circuit 200 to participate in sorting and recognizing various types, formats, and protocols of communication signals which may be received by the device 122; the device is able to self-adapt to a wide variety of high communication formats and protocols (identifying whether the first system operating in the first frequency range or second communication system operation in the second frequency range sent the output signal). See col. 13, lines 28-53. After determining the type of data and the protocol used, the device has adaptation branches 214a and 214b that can process the data, which includes graphics, video imaging, and data transmission of various protocols and standards (implementing the protocol that corresponds to the identified communication system). See col. 13, line 52-col. 14, line 30. Rostoker et al. does not expressly disclose an output signal comprised of a number of data packets. Freeburg et al. discloses that CMDA communications can take place over packets that include call numbers and ID information. Inherently, these packets should include information on its protocol and frequency or else a system in a region that carries multiple data formats and protocols would not be able to tell the packets apart from one another. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit the output signals using data packets and have the system identify the protocol based on the information stored in the packet. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because packets are a common method of sending data across networks, and having the system recognize the protocol through the packet header information is a simple step, and the system

Art Unit: 2697

must have some way of determining how to handle the various types of data that the device is capable of receiving.

- 5. Regarding claims 7, 11, 21, and 32 more specifically, the provisions for processing the first and second processes are all contained within the device 22, where the microcontroller determines which adaptation (e.g. GSM vs. CDMA) the device should implement. In this case, if CMDA is considered the "second communication system", then it has it's own microcontroller 58 in Fig. 4 (second communication has a microcontroller unit having a first process for detecting and processing the second output signal). Likewise, it has a first process for detecting and processing from a first communication system. The device also has the capability of providing a "second process" for detecting and processing a first output signal, which in this example would be GSM. The two processes can also be characterized as being in different sections. See Fig. 4. The microcontroller is certainly a component of the one of the first communication system and the second communication system—without it, there would be no way that the device could communicate with the base station, and thus, no communications would take place.
- 6. Regarding claim 33 more specifically, the microconroller must know how to operate in some manner, so it must be reading its instructions from some computer readable medium.
- 7. Regarding claims 2, 12 and 22, a signal that can carry graphics and video image communication signals can be considered a broadband signal (output signal is one of a baseband signal and a broadband signal). See col. 13, lines 49-62.
- 8. Regarding claims 3-6, 13-16, and 23-26, as mentioned previously, one of the RF frequency ranges can be 900 MHz and the other can be at 1900 MHz (first communication

Art Unit: 2697

system operates from about 800 MHz to 1 GHz; second communication system operative from 1.8 GHz to 2.0 GHz).

- 9. Regarding claims 8 and 27, neither Rostoker et al. nor Freeburg et al. expressly discloses decoding a set of MAC information associated with the output signal, but it would have been obvious that such a step would take place if data packets are transmitted. One would have been motivated to do this because there are certain layers that a packet must pass through in order to be processed, and the MAC layer is one of them.
- 10. Regarding claims 10 and 29, if the system encounters an unfamiliar protocol, the user can request that the protocol be downloaded from the system. Until that download occurs, the device will not receive data that is not "valid"—if the data is something that the system recognizes, then it will proceed normally (verifying data associated with the output signal is valid; responsive to the data being valid, transmitting the data to a data port that is coupled to the MCU). See col. 31, lines 16-20.
- 11. Regarding claim 20, the microcontroller interfaces with a microcontroller RAM which acts as memory (MCU has a memory that is configured).

#### Conclusion '

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rostoker et al. (US 5,793,416), Gillig et al. (US 4989,230), and Tayebi et al. (US 6,373,827) disclose systems that can handle multiple protocol systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy Lee whose telephone number is (703)305-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Art Unit: 2697

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (703)305-4789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-9420 for regular communications and (703)746-9420 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

TLL April 2, 2003

> RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER